Officer-Involved Fatal-Incident Reports

Summary

The 2008-2009 Sonoma County Civil Grand Jury reviewed four (4) Fatal-incident Reports (Reports) regarding officer-involved shootings or employee-involved fatal incidents. Two of the incidents involved in-custody deaths, one incident involved use of a Taser by officers in making an arrest, and one incident involved a paroled felon who was killed after shooting a uniformed deputy at point-blank range. Each Report reflected a thorough and detailed investigation of the subject incident. The District Attorney concluded in each Report that the death was not by criminal act, unlawful act or an omission to act. The Grand Jury found that all Reports were well documented and objective. Based on the evidence provided, the Grand Jury agrees there was no criminal wrongdoing regarding any involved party, including law enforcement employees. However, the District Attorney routinely provides Reports much later than existing policy requires.

Reason for Investigation

The Grand Jury reviews all Fatal Incident Reports received from the District Attorney’s Office during its term. They do so to provide an independent citizen review of the District Attorney’s conclusion regarding the absence of criminal behavior, and to ensure that county law enforcement agencies complied with established investigative procedures and protocols.

Background

The California Penal Code requires that a formal investigation of an officer-involved critical incident be conducted to determine if a criminal violation has occurred. The Sonoma County Law Enforcement Chiefs Association Employee-Involved Fatal Incident Protocol 93-1 (Protocol), establishes the countywide policy and procedures for prompt and efficient investigation of officer-involved critical incidents.

The Protocol dictates that a task force consisting of the District Attorney, an outside law enforcement agency not involved in the incident, and the primary law enforcement agency involved in the incident conduct the criminal investigation. Once the investigation is completed (a process that can take many months in complicated situations), the District Attorney, based on the evidence gathered in the investigation, determines the presence or absence of criminal liability and prepares a written District Attorney’s summary. This Report is required to be prepared within sixty (60) days of receiving the completed investigation.
The Report details the evidence, and cites the District Attorney’s conclusions. It is provided to the Sonoma County Civil Grand Jury for an independent review.

Review of previous Sonoma County Grand Jury reports indicates that during at least the past seven years, concerns have been raised about the District Attorney’s lack of timely preparation of Reports.

Investigative Procedures

The Grand Jury reviewed the following completed Critical Incident reports:

- 4/22/2007 Suspect who was tasered preceding arrest, fell and sustained a serious head injury. Consequential medical condition deteriorated leading to death.
- 6/17/2007 In-custody death at Main Adult Detention Facility.
- 4/18/2008 In-custody suicide at Main Adult Detention Facility

In addition the Grand Jury received 3 other completed Critical Incident reports late in its term and did not have sufficient time to complete it’s review. These 3 reports will be passed on to the 2009-2010 Grand Jury.

Findings

F1 Each agency involved in the subject incidents properly implemented the Protocol following the deaths of each party.

F2 In each of the incidents reviewed in this report (and in each of 5 other fatal incidents for which investigations have now been completed pursuant to the Protocol), the District Attorney’s failed to prepare and provide a written summary within the sixty (60) days required by the Protocol. The time that it has taken to prepare the Reports has ranged from seven months to twenty months.

F3 The District Attorney’s conclusions that there was insufficient evidence of criminal liability in each case, was warranted.

Conclusions

The Grand Jury believes that the Protocol sets forth reasonable procedures and guidelines for Sonoma County law enforcement agencies to use in the criminal investigation of fatal incidents involving law enforcement employees. The District Attorney has generally fulfilled his responsibilities under the Protocol. However, the present Protocol, requiring a written summary within sixty (60) days, has been routinely violated.
Conclusions (continued)

The Grand Jury believes that the public would be better served, by the establishment of a realistic deadline that the District Attorney’s Office is able to meet, with limited and reasonable exceptions.

Recommendations

R-1 The District Attorney should make a realistic assessment of his resources and ability to prepare Reports as now mandated by the Protocol. If warranted by that honest reflection, the District Attorney should pursue and effect an appropriate modification to the Protocol.

Required Responses to Recommendations

R-1 District Attorney