

RULE 16 RULES APPLICABLE TO ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR)

16.1 POLICY STATEMENT

The formal litigation of legal claims and disputes is expensive and time consuming. The overall results achieved by some or all of the parties are often unsatisfactory. There are many alternatives to formal court litigation which are less expensive, less time consuming, and more beneficial to the parties. It is therefore the firm policy and goal of this court to encourage the parties in all civil cases to explore and pursue private dispute resolution by means of an Alternative Dispute Resolution process that is appropriate to the matter and at the earliest appropriate date. (Eff. 1/1/1997; Rev. 1/1/2007) (Eff. 1/1/2016)

16.2 APPLICABILITY OF ADR [Repealed]

Rule 16.2 repealed effective January 1, 2016.

16.3 ADR FORMS PROVIDED ON THE FILING OF A COMPLAINT [Repealed]

Rule 16.3 repealed effective January 1, 2013.

16.4 COURT-CONNECTED MEDIATION – REQUIRED FORMS [Repealed]

Rule 16.4 repealed effective January 1, 2013.

16.5 ADR ASSESSMENT AT CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE [Repealed]

Rule 16.5 repealed effective January 1, 2016.

16.6 COURT APPROVED LISTS OF NEUTRALS [Repealed]

Rule 16.6 repealed effective January 1, 2016.

16.7 NO FEE CIVIL MEDIATION [Repealed]

Rule 16.7 repealed effective July 1, 2015.

16.8 SANCTIONS FOR MISUSE [Repealed]

Rule 16.8 repealed effective January 1, 2016.

16.9 APPLICABILITY TO PROBATE MATTERS [Repealed]

Rule 16.9 repealed effective January 1, 2016.

16.10 REQUIREMENTS FOR ADDRESSING COMPLAINTS ABOUT COURT-PROGRAM MEDIATORS [Repealed]

Rule 16.10 repealed effective January 1, 2016.