

**TENTATIVE RULINGS
CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE CALENDAR
Tuesday, March 7, 2023, 3:00 p.m. in Dept. 18
Courtroom 18 – Hon. Christopher M. Honigsberg**

Civil and Family Law Courthouse
3055 Cleveland Avenue, Santa Rosa, California 95403

The Court’s Official Court Reporters are “not available” within the meaning of California Rules of Court, Rule 2.956, for court reporting of civil cases.

Court Call is not permitted for this calendar.

If the tentative ruling does not require appearances and is accepted no appearance is necessary.

Any party who wishes to be heard in response or opposition to the Court’s tentative ruling **MUST NOTIFY** the Court’s Judicial Assistant by telephone at **(707) 521-6723** and **MUST NOTIFY** all other parties of your intent to appear, and **whether that appearance is in person or via Zoom**, no later 4:00 p.m. the court (business) day immediately preceding the day of the hearing.

TO JOIN “ZOOM” ONLINE,
D18 –

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/83856098726?pwd=WEI3K1BPRCswSk0ZYSs4VUw1OU5ydz09>
or [CLICK HERE](#)

Meeting ID: **838—5609—8726**
Password: **000169**

TO JOIN “ZOOM” BY PHONE,

By Phone (same meeting ID and password as listed for each calendar):
+1 669 900 6833 US (San Jose)

Guide for participating in court proceedings via Zoom in Department 18.

- After joining the meeting and checking in with the clerk, please mute your audio when not speaking. This helps keep background noise to a minimum.
- Be mindful of background noise when your microphone is not muted. Avoid activities that could create additional noise, such as shuffling papers.
- Position your camera properly if you choose to use a web camera. Be sure it is in a stable position and focused at eye level, if possible. Make sure everything visible in the frame is appropriate for an appearance in court.
- If a confidential session becomes necessary it is incumbent on you to ensure you are able to participate from a private location so that unauthorized people cannot overhear or see the proceedings.
- Chat is enabled for the sharing of documents among participants and the court and to allow attorneys to communicate individually with each other or their clients only. No chat messages should be sent privately to the court as it would amount to an unauthorized ex parte communication. Neither should chat messages be sent to all participants unless directed by the court.

-The recording function has been disabled. Remember, the prohibition against recording court proceedings, even remote ones, remains.

-Be patient. Check in will take more time and the experience from those who have tried this before is that proceedings are a little slower generally.

The following cases are required to appear at 3:00 p.m. in Dept. 18

SCV-269951, Goodwill Industries of the Redwood Empire v P&Y Construction Supply – Appearances required to re-set trial date.

SCV-270925, Clark v Schneider Electric USA, Inc. – Appearances required for status of Cross-complaints and trial setting.

SCV-270935, Lewis v Amazon Logistics, Inc. – Appearances required to update the Court on the status of the case.

SCV-271866, Freed v General Motors LLC – Appearances required for trial setting. Counsel are reminded a CMC statement must be filed no later than 15 days prior to the hearing.

SCV-271878, Simplot AB Retail, Inc. v Agrarian Supply LLC – Appearances required for trial setting.

The following cases will be continued to a further CMC/OSC calendar in Courtroom 18 (no appearance is required on March 7, 2023):

MCV-257282, American Tire Distributors Inc. v Canzoneri Industries Inc. – The matter is set on the OSC re Dismissal calendar for 5/9/23 at 3:30 p.m., for failure to timely request default and/or default judgment. The OSC shall issue separately. If judgment has been entered or a dismissal filed prior to the continued hearing date, the OSC will be vacated, and the hearing dropped.

MCV-258645, Kemper Specialty Insurance Company v Abarca – Continued to 7/18/23 at 3:00 p.m., for settled pleadings and trial setting.

SCV-265469, Vasquez v Barraclough - This matter is on calendar for a 9th case management hearing. The case was filed in 2019. The pleadings are still not settled. No updated CMC statements have been submitted. The Court sets an OSC re Dismissal for 5/9/23 at 3:30 p.m., for failure to timely prosecute and failure to timely file an updated case management conference statement. The OSC shall issue separately.

SCV-265823, Roe DZ 3 v Doe 1, Scouting Organization – Continued to 8/1/23 at 3:00 p.m., for status of bankruptcy.

SCV-269017, Percy v Arrodondo – Continued to 4/25/23 at 3:00 p.m., for status after mediation and trial setting.

SCV-269088, Mossi v Tamayo – Matter is set for an OSC re Dismissal on 5/2/23 at 3:30 p.m., for failure to timely seek entry of default/default judgment. The OSC shall issue

separately. If judgment has been entered or a dismissal filed prior to the continued hearing date, the OSC will be vacated, and the hearing dropped.

SCV-269940, Lucio v Epidendio – Continued to 7/18/23 at 3:00 p.m., for outcome of motion and status of defendant Zachary Sparks Epidendio.

SCV-270114, Burbank v Linsenmeyer – Continued to 7/18/23 at 3:00 p.m., for settled pleadings and trial setting.

SCV-270313, Harrison v Rose – Continued to 7/18/23 at 3:00 p.m., for outcome of demurrer.

SCV-270945, Marr B. Olsen, Inc. v Biasi – Continued to 7/18/23 at 3:00 p.m., for settled pleadings and trial setting.

SCV-271831, Riboli v Black Diamond Tree Experts – Continued to 7/18/23 at 3:00 p.m., for settled pleadings and trial setting.

SCV-271881, Whitaker v TF Outpost Operations, LLC – Continued to 7/25/23 at 3:00 p.m., for settled pleadings and trial setting.

SCV-271883, Chappelle v Oliver's Market – The Court sets an OSC re Dismissal for 5/9/23 at 3:30 p.m., for failure to timely file proof of service of process, failure to timely seek default/default judgment and failure to timely file a case management conference statement. The OSC shall issue separately.

The following cases will be set for trial with notice to follow (no appearance is required):

NONE.

The following cases have been dropped for various reasons:

SCV-269916, Smith v Sonoma Valley Health Care District

THIS IS THE END OF THESE TENTATIVE RULINGS