AD

OCT 11 1 2010

Approved: Superior Court Judge



DEPUTY CLERK

JE SONOMA

COUNTY

Date:

SEP 2 6 2012 RESPONSE TO GRAND JURY REPORT FORM SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA,

Report Title:	Library; Whose Library Is It?	ω
Report Date:	June 28, 2012	
Response by:	The Sonoma County Library Commission Approved at the September 5, 2012 meeting Signed by the Commission Chair, Julia Freis	

FINDINGS:

We agree with findings numbered F6.

We disagree wholly or partially with the findings numbered F1, F2, F3, F4, F5, F7, F8, F9, and F10.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

Recommendation R10 has been implemented.

Recommendations R3, R4, and R5 will not be implemented because they are not warranted.

Other recommendations in the report were not meant for the Commission.

Date: 09/18/12

Julia Freis, Chair Sonoma County Library Commission

14 pages attached.

SONOMA COUNTY LIBRARY COMMISSION RESPONSE TO THE 2011-2012 GRAND JURY REPORT

4 INTRODUCTION

5 This response is on behalf of the Sonoma County Library Commission (Commission).
6 The Library Director will be filing her own response.

7

1 2

3

8 The Commission appreciates the public's passion and Grand Jury's continued

9 interest in the health and welfare of our public library system. However, we are

10 concerned that the Grand Jury has completed an investigation into the efficacy of the

11 current Director and Commission without ever talking with the chair or vice-chair of

12 the Commission or a majority of its members.

13

14 **COMMENTS ON REPORT**

Before responding to the Grand Jury's findings and recommendations, we would like
to respond to some of the assertions in the summary, background, and discussion
portion of the report.

18

Excerpt from the report: Only one Commissioner, a newer member, regularly confronts
or questions the director regarding evidence put forth by the director in support of a
claim or request.

22

Commission Response: This is inaccurate. The Commission regularly questions
 the Director regarding information presented and requests follow-up
 information from staff and the Director. While this may not be done in a
 confrontational tone, it is done. Further, the chair of the Commission has
 final approval over the agenda. The Commission regularly directs
 information items and reports be added to the agenda in areas of interest or
 concern.

30

31 We would also note that while the Commission does not simply accept 32 everything presented, our role with the Director is not meant to be

1	adversarial. It is the job of the Commission to work with the Director in a
2	professional manner. This means providing a stable environment in which
3	problems may be presented and discussed openly.
4	
5	Excerpt from the report: The following statement by the director is representative of
6	what the Grand Jury repeatedly heard from interviewees when they talked about
7	the director's disregard for the needs of the public and the manner in which she
8	interacts with stakeholders regarding library issues at open, public meetings.
9	We continue to lock the book drops for the 10-day holiday
10	
11	Commission Response: The decision to lock the book returns and "go dark" was
12	made by the Library Commission with input from the public and staff at a
13	number of levels.
14	
15	There are multiple reasons for the decision. Mainly, leaving the book drops
16	open and the computer system running would require expending resources
17	during and after the MTO, thereby negating savings.
18	
19	Further, the decision to shut down all services was consciously made by the
20	Commission after discussion in open session. The Commission believed that,
21	in order to communicate to the public the economic realities it was facing, all
22	services had to be shut down.
23	
24	Excerpt from the report:and the county provides the funds which are drawn from
25	property taxes that make up the county General Fund.
26	
27	Commission Response: This is inaccurate. The funding for the Library comes
28	from a designated portion of the property taxes, collected by the County and
29	placed into a special library fund. The Library then pays the County to manage
30	the fund. However, this money is not part of the county General Fund.
31	

- The Library is a designated special library district and was so at the passage of 1 2 Proposition 13, in 1978. Pursuant to the interpretation of Proposition 13, the library system as a special district is entitled to the same percentage of the 3 4 property taxes that it was receiving prior to the passage of Proposition 13. 5 Excerpt from the report: Each branch has a Library Advisory Board (LAB) consisting of 6 7 members who are appointed by the commissioner from that area. 8 Commission Response: This is inaccurate. Each city has a LAB, thus there is one 9 10 LAB for all three branches in Santa Rosa. The Commissioner from each area makes recommendations to the Commission regarding appointments. When 11 12 the areas overlap, such as the Third Supervisory District and the City of Santa 13 Rosa, the Commissioners involved share in the recommendations. The 14 Commission then votes whether to approve the person recommended. 15 Excerpt from the report: In May 2010, the commissioners unanimously approved a 16 17 budget presented to them by the director which contained a seven million dollar 18 error. 19 20 Commission Response: The Commission has never approved a budget with a \$7 million error in it. Review of the documents from the May 2010 meeting 21 22 show that approval of the budget was not on the agenda, nor is it reflected 23 in the minutes that a budget was approved. It is unclear where the Grand 24 Jury obtained this piece of information, but it is simply untrue. 25 Additionally, the Library's budget is submitted for review and approval to 26 the Board of Supervisors. If indeed the Commission had approved a budget 27 with a \$7 million error, it would have been discovered and made public 28 during that process. 29
- There was, however, a \$7 million error in the May 2010 financial report,
 provided for information only. The error was not noted at the time, but was

1 2 noted and corrected by the next Commission meeting.

3	Excerpt from the report: On October 5, 2011, a "Vote of No Confidence" petition with 78
4	signatures of library employees and others was presented to the Library
5	Commission at the commission's monthly meeting. The petition was accepted with
6	the understanding that the commissioners would consider the contents and respond
7	at a later date.
8	
9	Commission Response: The background fails to include the information that the
10	chair and vice-chair of the Commission met with SEIU representatives to
11	obtain additional information and more specifics about the allegations
12	contained in the petition, which SEIU agreed to provide. Despite numerous
13	follow-up emails over the next several months, no additional information was
14	forthcoming. The Commission responded to the petition in writing on
15	November 2 and read the written response into the record at the meeting.
16	(Copy of that written response is Attachment A.)
17	
18	Excerpt from the report: On March 27, 2012, the commissioners appeared in front of
19	the BOS to respond to many of the same issues [referring to the Vote of No
20	Confidence]
21	
22	Commission Response: The purpose of the Commissioners' meeting with the
23	Board of Supervisors (BOS) was unrelated to the "vote of no confidence."
24	The Joint Powers Agreement (JPA) contains a provision that the Commission
25	will, on an annual basis, report to the BOS. This provision has been largely
26	neglected over the past years. On December 2, 2011, Supervisor Carrillo
27	sent a letter asking the Commission to make a presentation "about current
28	initiatives, the fiscal state of the Library, as well as an overview of current
29	and proposed capital projects." (A copy of the letter is Attachment B.) The
30	Commission prepared a PowerPoint to address the questions raised, and the
31	chair of the Commission presented it to the BOS.

4

1	
2	
3	The JPA makes it clear that the Director is appointed by and serves at the
4	will of the Commission, and she does not answer directly to the BOS. The
5	Commission seeks to develop a stronger relationship with the BOS and
6	improve the flow of communication between the two.
7	
8	Excerpt from the report: Many LABs meet irregularly and have been lax in making
9	recommendations to the director and the commissioners.
10	
11	Commission Response: The LABs are authorized to develop their own bylaws,
12	which set the schedule of meetings. All LABs meet regularly, although some
13	choose to meet quarterly while others have chosen to meet more frequently.
14	The bylaws, agendas, and minutes of all the LABs are available on the
15	Library's web site at:
16	http://www.sonoma.lib.ca.us/libinfo/AdvisoryBoards/.
17	
18	A commissioner attends each LAB meeting, and the Director attends as often
19	as possible. In addition, the Commission has sought LAB input on topics
20	that include the Standards of Behavior policy, public service hours, internet
21	filtering, and (most recently) an increase in the fines and fees.
22	
23	Excerpt from the report: The JPA places all final decisions affecting the running of the
24	library on the desk of the director.
25	
26	Commission Response: This statement is inaccurate. In fact, many final
27	decisions that significantly affect the way in which the Library is run are in
28	the hands of the Commission. For instance, it is the Commission that
29	decides the days and hours of operation, designates the budget for
30	materials, negotiates with the Union for wages and work conditions, and
31	determines policies regarding public service and use of the facilities and

2	
3	In addition to the information presented by staff, the Commission takes
4	public comments on each agenda item. Individual commissioners are free to
5	contact and talk with branch managers or any member of staff, and
6	commissioners are accessible to the public and staff by email, telephone or
7	traditional mail. Commissioners communicate with LAB members, the
8	Supervisor or City Council that appointed him/her, representatives of SEIU
9	and other stakeholders, even outside of the formal setting of meetings.
10	
11	Excerpt from the report: The tenth item on the November agenda was the Book Return
12	RetrofitThe request was not substantiated with cost details, broken down by
13	branch, or specifically what was to be done; however, the director did indicate that
14	the bins were already ordered
15	
16	Commission Response: The Commission received a full written proposal with
17	detailed budget for the book return retrofit. Those documents are $#10.2.1$
18	and 10.2.2 on the Library's website at:
19	http://www.sonoma.lib.ca.us/agenda/20111102/Agenda%20Documents%
20	<u>2011.2.11.pdf</u> .
21	
22	For convenience, the documents are provided here as Attachment C.
23	
24	None of the items proposed at the November 2011 Commission meeting were
25	ordered prior to the meeting.
26	
27	Excerpt from the report: As this report goes to print, the library has posted an
28	opening for an Assistant Director.
29	
30	
31	Commission Response: This is inaccurate. The position referenced is an
2	6 Sonoma County Library Commission

1	Assistant to the Director not Assistant Director, which is significantly
2	different. The position was funded by modifying an unfilled Community
3	Manager position and leaving unfunded two Administrative Aide
4	positions. This was done at the behest of the Commission to enable the
5	Director to delegate more tasks.
6	
7	Excerpt from the report: The JPA does not require the director to heed the advice of
8	the commissioners or the advisory boards, nor is she obligated to listen to the
9	voices of the FOL's or other stakeholders.
10	
11	Commission Response: As noted above, the Director serves at the will of the
12	Commission. A brief review of the history of directors shows that the
13	Commission has asked for and received the resignation of at least one of its
14	prior directors.
15	
16	The Director receives an annual performance evaluation by the Commission.
17	There is a standing evaluation subcommittee that meets with the Director on
18	an ongoing basis. Review of the agendas for the past year shows the
19	Director evaluation as a closed session item for meetings in January,
20	February, April, May, and July 2012. The Director's evaluation uses a
21	method and format substantially similar to that adopted for the evaluation of
22	the rest of the staff. A blank evaluation form is Attachment D. Like all
23	employee evaluations, the Director's evaluation is a confidential personnel
24	matter.
25	
26	Excerpt: The shortening and standardization of library hours and the decision to close
27	the Library
28	
29	Commission Response: At the time the Commission decided to change hours, the
30	Library was facing a deficit in the operating budget of between \$500,000
31	and \$1 million for the third year in a row. Reducing the hours of service
	7 Sonoma County Library Commission

was proposed as a way of balancing the budget. A specific proposal was
sent to the Union, since they needed to agree to the changes in the working
conditions of their members. The Union then released the proposal to the
press, presenting it as having already been approved by the Commission.

5 In fact, the Commission took almost four hours of public comment over the 7 course of three months before making a final decision regarding the 8 reduction of hours. Feedback was given by each of the LABs. Members of 9 the public contacted the Commissioners individually, by email. Staff, 10 represented by SEIU, voiced their concerns and opinions both at public 11 meetings and in negotiation sessions.

12 **Response to Findings**

F1. The Joint Powers Agreement appears to be outdated and needs to be revised. 16

17 The Commission disagrees wholly or partially with this finding.

- The Joint Powers Agreement has been in place for 37 years, and has effectively advanced the services provided as the community has grown and changed. In the view of the Commission, the problem is not with the structure of the JPA, but rather with the reduced budget due to the economic downturn in 2008. The JPA has protected the Library's funding as well as the institution of the
- Library as a free and independent source of information.
- That said, the Commission agrees that it is useful to re-examine the JPA and find ways to strengthen it. However, the Commission is concerned that any proposed changes to the JPA must take care not to unintentionally endanger either the protected funding or independence of the Library.
- 30

25

13

18

F2. The Joint Powers Agreement states that individual library branches have input in major decisions; however, it appears that they are not heard and basically ignored.

1 The Commission disagrees wholly or partially with this finding. 2 There is no such statement in the Joint Powers Agreement¹. The JPA creates a 3 4 consolidated library system that operates as a single entity governed by the 5 Commission. Section 24 of the JPA establishes the LABs to advise the 6 Commission on matters affecting library service in the various regions of the 7 county. It is through the LABs that both staff and the public can make 8 regional issues known and raise concerns with the Commission. For this 9 reason, a member of the Commission and members of Library management attend every LAB meeting held in the county. 10 11 12 While the Commission strives to solicit the opinions of and input from the 13 LABs, it recognizes that there is room for improvement. To that end, educating the public about the important role of the LABs and encouraging the public to 14 15 attend and participate is a priority. 16 17 1. "24. Advisory Boards. The Commission shall establish advisory boards in regions of the 18 county centering upon the urban areas designated in Section 21 above, and shall maintain 19 these boards so long as they are desired by the communities which they represent. The 20 advisory boards shall organize and meet as they shall each determine, and shall make 21 recommendations to the library director and the Commission on all matters affecting library 22 service in the regions which they represent. The library boards, library advisory boards and 23 library advisory committees which presently exist, and the members thereof, shall be 24 designated to be the initial regional Library Advisory Boards established pursuant to this 25 section." 26 27 Having an unresponsive library director undermines the original intent of F3. 28 the JPA. 29 30 The Commission disagrees wholly or partially with this finding. 31 32 If the Library Director were unresponsive, that would undermine the original 33 intent of the JPA. Beyond that, the Commission cannot comment on anything 34 involving personnel matters.

¹ The JPA is available on the Library's website at: http://sonomalibrary.org/jpa.html

1		
2	F4.	Library Advisory Boards are not functioning as per the original intent of
3		the JPA.
4		
5		The Commission disagrees wholly or partially with this finding.
6		
7		The JPA established the LABs to be independent entities to encourage the free
8		flow of information and recommendations to the Commission and the Library
9		staff. While the Commission and staff seek feedback on specific issues, it is up
10		to each LAB to determine its own priorities and areas of interest.
11		
12		However, the Commission recognizes that it could provide more leadership to
13		the LABs regarding the role of the LABs in the Library system. It is the intent
14		of the Commission to begin discussing with each LAB how the LAB views its
15		utility within the system and how it defines its goals and priorities.
16		
17 18	F5.	The Library Commission seems unclear as to their duties and function.
17 18 19 20	F5.	The Library Commission seems unclear as to their duties and function. The Commission disagrees wholly or partially with this finding.
18 19	F5.	
18 19 20	F5.	The Commission disagrees wholly or partially with this finding.
18 19 20 21	F5.	The Commission disagrees wholly or partially with this finding. The Commission is responsible for adopting and overseeing the implementation of policies and for the budget. It does not micromanage the running of the
18 19 20 21 22	F5.	The Commission disagrees wholly or partially with this finding. The Commission is responsible for adopting and overseeing the implementation
18 19 20 21 22 23	F5.	The Commission disagrees wholly or partially with this finding. The Commission is responsible for adopting and overseeing the implementation of policies and for the budget. It does not micromanage the running of the Library. Additionally, it is responsible for the long-term health and stability of
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	F5.	The Commission disagrees wholly or partially with this finding. The Commission is responsible for adopting and overseeing the implementation of policies and for the budget. It does not micromanage the running of the Library. Additionally, it is responsible for the long-term health and stability of the Library system.
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	F5.	The Commission disagrees wholly or partially with this finding. The Commission is responsible for adopting and overseeing the implementation of policies and for the budget. It does not micromanage the running of the Library. Additionally, it is responsible for the long-term health and stability of
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	F5.	The Commission disagrees wholly or partially with this finding. The Commission is responsible for adopting and overseeing the implementation of policies and for the budget. It does not micromanage the running of the Library. Additionally, it is responsible for the long-term health and stability of the Library system. To that end, the instability caused by the constant complaint about and
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	F5.	The Commission disagrees wholly or partially with this finding. The Commission is responsible for adopting and overseeing the implementation of policies and for the budget. It does not micromanage the running of the Library. Additionally, it is responsible for the long-term health and stability of the Library system. To that end, the instability caused by the constant complaint about and turnover of our Directors is of concern. Between September of 1993 and
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	F5.	The Commission disagrees wholly or partially with this finding. The Commission is responsible for adopting and overseeing the implementation of policies and for the budget. It does not micromanage the running of the Library. Additionally, it is responsible for the long-term health and stability of the Library system. To that end, the instability caused by the constant complaint about and turnover of our Directors is of concern. Between September of 1993 and December of 2005, when the current Director was hired, the Library was
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	F5.	The Commission disagrees wholly or partially with this finding. The Commission is responsible for adopting and overseeing the implementation of policies and for the budget. It does not micromanage the running of the Library. Additionally, it is responsible for the long-term health and stability of the Library system. To that end, the instability caused by the constant complaint about and turnover of our Directors is of concern. Between September of 1993 and December of 2005, when the current Director was hired, the Library was managed by no less than three permanent Directors and two interim Directors.

financial policies; the Standards of Behavior policy was outdated; there were no
 policies on workplace safety and security; there was no human resources staff;
 there was no cataloguer; and the management team had no employment
 policies or agreements.

- Although progress has been slowed since 2009 by the time necessary to
 address the budget crisis and renegotiate Union contracts, the Library is
 making steady progress to correct these failings.
- Further, the Commission is responsible for the long-term financial stability of 10 the Library. In this regard, it must do more than just cover the operating 11 budget from year to year. It must plan for capital improvements, purchase of 12 replacement equipment, and maintainance of facilities. It must also plan for 13 the coverage of the unfunded retiree health insurance liability. That means 14 15 occasionally making decisions that are unpopular with the public or with SEIU, such as reducing service hours. However, that does not mean the Commission 16 17 is ignoring public opinion or comment. It is charged with making thoughtful 18 and balanced decisions, taking into account long-term implications.
- 19

22

23

5

9

F6. The Library Commission appears to have no formal training sessions to assist them.

- The Commission agrees with this finding.
- 24 F7. The Library Director is inappropriately editing meeting minutes.
- 25 The Commission disagrees wholly or partially with this finding.
- 26
- 27 Minutes are not meant to be transcripts, and editing is required to summarize 28 a meeting. The edits cited in the report did not appear to mischaracterize what 29 occurred at the meeting.
- 30

31 F8. The majority of performance reviews are not being completed on a regular

1	basis. There appeared to be no urgency at the library director level to) get
2	reviews up to date.	
3	The Commission disagrees wholly or partially with this finding.	
4		
5	The Commission agrees that a majority of the employee performance review	ws
6	are not being completed on a regular basis. However, the Commission	
7	disagrees that there is no urgency at the Director level to get the reviews u	p to
8	date. Both the Commission and the Director believe that this is a high pri	ority.
9		
10	F9. The absence of written policies and procedures is causing a lack of follo	ow-
11	through on the expenditure of funds received from the FOL.	
12		
13	The Commission disagrees wholly or partially with this finding.	
14	The Commission agrees that the library does not currently have a clear	
15	purchasing/ordering procedure or a designated purchasing manager. The	
16	Commission plans to review the management structure and staffing along	with
17	the purchasing policies to address the problem by June 30, 2013.	
18		
19	F10. Furniture orders for some branches are unnecessarily held up at the	
20	Library Director's level.	
21	The Commission disagrees wholly or partially with this finding.	
22		
23	The Commission agrees that the furniture orders are held up. However, th	е
24	Library does not currently have a designated purchasing manager. The	
25	Commission plans to review the management structure and staffing along	with
26	the purchasing policies to address the problem by June 30, 2013.	
27		
28	Response To Recommendations	
29 30		
31	R-3. The Library Commission establish a method to facilitate communication	m

1 2		between the LABs and the Commission.
3		This recommendation will not be implemented because it is unwarranted.
4		
5		Commissioners attend the LAB meetings and report back to the full
6		Commission. If an assigned Commissioner cannot make it to the meeting, he
7		or she will contact another Commissioner to attend. When the Commission
8		holds a meeting at one of the branches, the members of the local LAB are
9		invited to meet with the Commission to share their perspective on issues of
10		common concern. Additionally, individual LAB members are free to
11		communicate directly with any Commissioner.
12		
13		This year, the Commission sponsored the first LAB Conclave—a joint meeting
14		of the Commission and LAB members from across the county. Holding such a
15		session on a regular basis is being reviewed.
16 17 18 19 20	R-4.	The Library Commission establish a regular monthly schedule for LAB meetings with the library patrons and then monthly with the Library Commission to discuss findings.
20 21 22		This recommendation will not be implemented because it is unwarranted.
23		Each LAB is an individual entity with its own bylaws and has the authority to
24		set its own meeting schedule. Under the JPA, the Commission does not have
25		the authority to implement this recommendation.
26		
27		The LABs already meet regularly on the schedule they set, and there is an
28		established channel for communication between the Commission and the
29		LABs.
30		
31 32 33 34	R-5.	The Library Commission instruct the director to cease editing meeting minutes. Any corrections or edits should be brought up at the subsequent Library Commission meeting when the minutes are approved.

1	This recommendation will not be implemented because it is unwarranted.
2	
3	There are a variety of approaches for creating an official record of meetings.
4	For example, the Board of Supervisors posts informal minutes and videos of
5	the meetings. The Commission intends to evaluate the role of minutes and
6	consider the best method for creating a record of its meetings.
7	
8	
9	R-10. The library director report to the Library Commission the status of
10	library employee reviews on a monthly basis to ensure compliance with
11	library policies and procedures for reviewing all employees in a timely
12	fashion.
13	
14	The Commission will implement this recommendation.
15	
16	

November 1, 2011

SEIU Local 1021

To SEIU Union Local 1021:

In recent weeks, SEIU has presented a number of concerns regarding budget expenditures and communications with the Sonoma County Library Commissioners. In response to the Union's concerns, the Commission offers the following information regarding difficult budget decisions it has made in the current fiscal year, and the Library's approach to communications.

1. Sonoma Branch and Burlingame Hall

SEIU has expressed concerns regarding the use of library funds for renovations to the Sonoma Valley Library branch. However, the Commission has not allocated any Library funds for these renovations. Instead, these renovations are being paid for with \$2.5 million of City of Sonoma redevelopment funds, as well as a generous donation from the Sonoma Friends. The renovations are essential for staff safety, improved ergonomics, and compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act. The Commission is pleased to take advantage of this opportunity so that the community of Sonoma can benefit from the City's redevelopment funds.

Concerns were raised regarding spending for the temporary location of the Sonoma branch at Burlingame Hall. The Library Commission approved an expenditure of roughly \$400,000 of reserve funds for the temporary location while the Sonoma branch is being remodeled. The alternative to paying for a temporary location would have been to leave the City of Sonoma without a branch for as long as 9 to 12 months. While the Library considered closing down the Sonoma branch, in response to community demand, the Commission opted to maintain services to Sonoma during the period of renovation. The full cost of the temporary accommodations at Burlingame Hall is paid for from our reserve fund for capital improvements, not from our operating budget. Money from the reserve fund for capital improvements is restricted and cannot be allocated to another expenditure, such as salaries.

Contrary to the concerns raised, Burlingame Hall was an affordable and competitive option for temporary relocation. Director Cooper spent a significant amount of time and worked with a Sonoma realtor to locate a building that would suit the needs of the Library. Very few properties

met the library's needs, and most suitable properties were not available. The Library was considering the option of installing temporary structures near the building site – one of the most costly options – when Burlingame Hall was suggested.

Part of the cost of Burlingame Hall involved upgrades to the facility for public usage. The upgrades to Burlingame Hall were necessary to comply with ADA regulations and infrastructure needs for service to the public, such as lighting, Internet access and bicycle racks. These upgrades would have been necessary at almost any location we leased, and are the cost of continuing to provide services to the community of Sonoma during the renovation. Director Cooper worked closely with the City of Sonoma and was able to negotiate some of the city permitting requirements in order to save costs.

Concerns were raised because the Commission did not approve a proposed budget prior to initiation of the Burlingame relocation. However, given the uncertainty of potential temporary relocation, the Library could not begin planning until the location was secured. Considering the challenge of finding appropriate space, the budget was submitted in a timely manner.

While spending on a temporary location may seem excessive in the face of reductions to public service hours, the deficit of approximately \$1 million in the 2011-12 operating budget would have remained the same whether or not we renovated the Sonoma Valley branch, and whether or not we opened a temporary location to serve the community of Sonoma during the renovation.

Before giving Director Cooper authority to negotiate or enter into a lease, the Commission provided very clear parameters regarding project costs. Overall, Burlingame Hall has proven to be a cost effective means of continuing services to Sonoma during the remodel.

2. Spending for Furniture, Materials and Consultants

The Commission also provides clear parameters and authority for major expenditures and procurement, whether for a project, negotiating a large vendor contract, or negotiating with the Union.

To further ensure proper expenditure of Library funds, the Library undergoes an independent audit on a yearly basis, which includes multiple presentations to the Commission directly from the auditor. The Library's budget is also approved on an annual basis by the Sonoma County Board of Supervisors.

The Library's primary source of funding is property taxes, which have declined substantially in recent years, resulting in a budget deficit this year of \$1 million. To address this enormous deficit, the Library has had to significantly reduce ongoing costs. To achieve this goal, the Library Commission opted in July to reduce public service hours. This decision was made after a thorough review of budget items, with significant reductions to expenditures wherever possible. The decision was not made lightly.

SEIU has complained about the money spent on "consultants." Ironically, this concern arises from the fact that negotiations with the Union were so challenging, the Commission felt compelled to bring in outside counsel to assist with the negotiations. The Library and Union have been immersed in concentrated negotiations for over six months. The Commission hopes the bargaining teams can quickly resolve outstanding issues, which will end the need for an outside consultant for labor negotiations for the current fiscal year.

3. Communication

Finally, the Library Commissioners take care to seek input and communicate with members of the community and community groups. Members of the Library's management team, in addition to the director, present directly to the Commission at most meetings. Members of the public and outside organizations may ask to present to the Commission, as well. At least one commissioner attends each Library Advisory Board meeting, where members of the public discuss issues of concern about the Library. And, of course, we take public comments at every meeting.

The Commission is supportive of Director Cooper during these very difficult times. Decisions made this year by Library management and the Commission have been challenging and disheartening at times. While no one likes to see services reduced, the Library cannot turn a blind eye to the drastic reduction in tax and state revenue faced this year.

The Commission is disappointed that the Union has expressed its concerns in such a public attack, which appears to be a negotiating tactic. However, we provide this informational response to address the concerns raised in the spirit of transparency and collaboration.

Sincerely,

Melissa Kelley, Chair Sonoma County Library Commission

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

EFREN CARRILLO CHAIR

SHIRLEE ZANE VICE CHAIR VALERIE BROWN DAVID RABBITT MIKE MCGUIRE

COUNTY OF SONOMA

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

575 ADMINISTRATION DRIVE, RM. 100A SANTA ROSA, CALIFORNIA 95403

> (707) 565-2241 FAX (707) 565-3778



December 2, 2011

Melissa Kelley, Chair Sonoma County Library Commission 211 E St Santa Rosa, CA 95404

Dear Chair Kelley:

The Sonoma County Board of Supervisors requests that the Sonoma County Library Commission present a library overview and update at a Board of Supervisors meeting during the first quarter of 2012. Our current Board has not had the pleasure of receiving an update, and in preparation for our budget discussions for 2012-13, we would appreciate hearing about current initiatives, the fiscal state of the Library, as well as an overview of current and proposed capital projects.

Please request that Ms. Cooper contact our Clerk of the Board, Michelle Arrellano, in order to schedule a presentation at an upcoming meeting. Our County Administrator's analyst, Christina Rivera, will be happy to assist you with preparing the item to come to the Board. We look forward to hearing more about the Sonoma County Library system and your important public role.

Best regards,

Elne Rand

Efren Carrillo Chair, Sonoma County Board of Supervisors

cc: Board of Supervisors Members Library Commission Members Sandra Cooper, ED Sonoma County Library Veronica Ferguson, County Administrator Michelle Arrellano

1	
2 3	STAFF REPORT LIBRARY BOOK RETURNS
4	THE CHALLENGES AND RECOMMENDED SOLUTIONS
5 6	
7	The Problem
8	The reduction in public service hours has brought a problem to light. The
9	issue is not a direct result of the change in hours—our aging buildings have
10	book returns that are inadequate to handle the number and types of items that
11	are returned to the Library. The design and capacity of the returns system
12	wide are a problem.
13	
14	Anytime the Library is closed two days in a row, book drops across the system
15	jam and fill to overflowing. Some libraries routinely have problems over
16	weekends or even overnight. Healdsburg Regional Library's return has never
17	been adequate, and staff have been letting books fall on the floor every night for
18	thirty years. Even the newest library-the Rohnert Park-Cotati Regional
19	Library—has design flaws that lead to jamming.
20	
21	To avoid the jamming, staff have removed bins and let the items spill onto the
22	floor—requiring them to get on their hands and knees to retrieve hundreds of
23	items. Currently, both staff and library materials are being damaged by the
24	situation.
25	
26	We will show the Commission pictures of the book returns to help them see the
27	problem. The table below shows the number of items returned to each library

1	between closing ti	me on Saturday	and start of wo	ork on Tuesday	in September.
---	--------------------	----------------	-----------------	----------------	---------------

3	و کار استار می دود. - این استار استا استار استار است				
	BOOKDRO	P COUNTS	SEPTEM	IBER 201	1
4	BRANCH	<u>9.13.11</u>	<u>9.20.11</u>	9.27.11	AVG
	CENTRAL	746	655	569	656.7
5					
	CLOVERDALE	233	181	140	184.7
6					
	GUERNEVILLE	206	241	239	228.7
7					
	HEALDSBURG	716	439	389	514.7
8			750	700	
	NORTHWEST	661	756	762	726.3
9	PETALUMA	1153	1020	1077	1083.3
10					
	RINCON VALLEY	521	388	573	494.0
	ROHNERT PARK (2)	625	842	816	761.0
	SEBASTOPOL (2)	1191	838	800	943.0
	SONOMA (BH)	435	413	319	389.0
	WINDSOR	842	487	440	589.7
	TOTALS	7329	6260	6124	6571.0

2 (The book returns were locked over the three-day closure over Labor Day.)

11 **Recommended Solutions**

- 12 The Library Management Team (LMT) has focused on two goals:
- 13 1. Prevent jamming.
- 14 2. Stop the practice of having items fall on the floor to improve staff working
- 15 conditions as well as minimize damage to materials.

16

- 17 Since the reduction in service hours, the LMT has taken the following steps:
- 18 1. Emptying the drops themselves in August to understand the issue first-
- 19 hand.

1	2. Engaging a substitute driver and a Library Technician II to visit the majority
2	of the branches at least once a day on Mondays to empty drops, change out
3	book bins, and/or place items on book trucks. The two are using an extra
4	library pick-up, and substitute drivers have clearance to enter libraries
5	when they are closed (including a master key and master security code).
6	3. Working with the Facilities Services staff and Branch Managers to identify
7	ways to retrofit the book returns so that we can minimize the problems.
8	The plans are summarized below.
9	4. Exploring the feasibility and cost of automated returns and materials
10	handling for four of the libraries—Petaluma, Rohnert Park-Cotati,
11	Sebastopol, and Rincon Valley to help control staff workload and reduce
12	repetitive stress injuries.
13	
14	In general, the retrofit solution includes:
15	1. Raising the return slots so that they can accommodate larger, deeper return
16	bins.
17	2. Wherever possible, replacing the single slot with dual returns—one for
18	books and one for media.
19	3. Buying multiple bins for libraries with a high volume of returns so a full bin
20	can be replaced with an empty one.
21	
22	Financial Implications
23	A budget for the book return retrofit, which requires Commission approval so
24	that we can proceed, is included with this report.

1	Once we retrofit the returns, we are assuming that we will have resolved the
2	two major issues (jamming; materials on the floor); however, we are also
3	assuming that someone will still have to visit some branches to switch out the
4	bins in the returns anytime the Library is closed two days or more.
5	
6	Right now, we are using funds from the extra help budget to pay for staff time
7	to visit the libraries on Monday. Any long-term staffing solution will require
8	further discussion and Commission approval. If we continue to use extra
9	help, the cost will be approximately \$9,000 for the rest of this fiscal year. If we
10	added staff hours to the regular budget, the cost could be up to \$16,000.
11	
12	We have considered having regular branch staff work on Mondays to empty the
13	book drops but believe having non-public service staff is preferable. If we use
14	regular part-time or full-time branch staff, we would either have to add
15	additional staff hours or reduce staff who can cover absences on days the
16	Library is open. If staff flexed their schedules to empty bookdrops, some
17	people would be working six days a week, increasing the risk of repetitive
18	stress injury. Paying one or two staff members to visit selected libraries system
19	wide on Mondays is preferable from our perspective.
20	
21	Locking Book Returns
22	The Library locked book drops during the holiday closures in 2009 and 2010 to
23	control workload. The Commission agreed with this approach along with

Page 4

1	"darkening" all online services to reinforce the message that all those services
2	require staff and/or financial resources.
3	
4	When we planned for the reduction in service hours, we told the staff that we
5	would lock the book returns anytime the Library was closed for three or more
6	days. The first long weekend after the change in service hours was Labor Day,
7	and the Library was closed for three days. The locking resulted in complaints
8	from the Friends of the Healdsburg Regional Library as well as comments at
9	the Guerneville Library Advisory Board.
10	
11	After talking with the Branch Managers, we would like the Commission's advice
12	on this approach to locking the book drops.
13	1. We leave the book drops open for most closures and engage the substitute
14	driver and Tech II to visit libraries on both the Saturday and Monday of
15	three-day weekends. We have already arranged for them to visit libraries on
16	the Friday after Thanksgiving.
17	
18	2. We continue to lock the book drops for the 10-day holiday/MTO closure and
19	also have all online services "go dark." Not only will this help remind the
20	public that they cannot take the Library for granted—but it will also help
21	mitigate workload caused by the deluge of returned materials and requests
22	to pull.
23	
24	

BUDGET FOR BOOK DROP RETROFIT

DOCUMENT #10.2.2 (11.2.11)

	Γ	Γ	[Γ							Γ		Γ		Ι	Τ	Τ	Τ	Τ	Τ	Γ		Γ]							
	Price	\$3,998.00	\$1,999.00	\$3,998.00	\$1,999.00	\$3,998.00	\$1,999.00	\$2,890.00	\$1,999.00	\$1,590.00	PAID	N	\$3,180.00	\$2,798.00	\$2,890.00	\$1,999.00					\$2,890.00	\$1,999.00	\$2,805.00	\$200.00	\$4,800.00	\$4,500.00	\$52,531.00	Tax 8.5% \$4,448.14	Total \$56,979.14	\$841.36	\$2,998.00	<u>\$187.30</u> \$61,005.80
ITEMS TO ORDER	Description & Number	38-6060 (2)	Dual return	38-6060 (2)	Dual return	38-6060 (2)	Dual return	30-6060(2) 30-6055(2)	Dual return	30-6060(2)	30-9050(2)	see Central's carts below	30-6060(4)	single return(2)	30-6055(2) 30-9060(2)	Dual return					30-6060(2) 30-6055(2) \$2,890.00	Dual return	Return & cart	shipping	Hole cutting \$4,800.00	Recommended Contigency of 10% \$4,500.00	Taxable totalTotal \$52,531.00	Tax 8.5%	Total	shipping \$841.36	Cost of Centrals carts \$2,998.00	Central shipping <u>\$187.30</u> Grand Total \$61,005.80
MODIFICATION		Dual media slots with 2 carts				Reconfigure closet; 2 dual return & new bins				Modify the chutes/taller bins for books	Order additional bins		2 single drops/raise to max height & switch door		Raise, 2 slots, new bins		Reconfigure in building update		None required		Dual return on front/close current drop		Maybe a small exterior bin	This item is not from Kingsley			out 40% vs the duralight carts.	\$1,999.00	\$795.00	\$650.00	\$1,999.00	\$1,399.00 \$176.00 per unit for carts or see quote 1028_3
BRANCH		Cloverdale		Guerneville		Healdsburg		Petaluma		Rohnert Park-Cotati	SR: Central		SR: Northwest		SR: Rincon		Sebastopol		Sonoma Valley		Windsor		Occidental	·			the duraSteel carts wi			0-6050		single return shipping
PRIORITY		6A		68		<u>~-</u>		~		2	 		4		æ						5						Going with			4		

Note: Central Library was recentlyy retrofitted with 2 slots. Two additional carts will allow them to be switched out.

SONOMA COUNTY LIBRARY Performance Management Program Work Plan



Employee	Position	Library Director	
Evaluator	Position	Commissioners	
Date of Work Planning Meeting			
Date of Interim Meeting			
Date of Annual Performance Evaluation			
Work plan is for period of			
(Original of signed form to: Director and Commission Chair: Conv to Commission)	mmission Chair. Coov to Comm.	vission)	

Core Competencies	Results / Expectations	Goals / Additional Expectations	How Monitored Source / Frequency	Actual Results	
Interaction with others	Treats everyone with respect				
	Pays attention, listens carefully and asks questions to determine the person's needs				
	Willingly handles problems, complaints, and special requests				
	Responds appropriately				
	Negotiates issues calmly and professionally, provides options when possible, and explains rationale positively when denials are required				
	Follows through				
Practices Continuous Learning	Looks for opportunities to use and expand knowledge, skills and experience				
	Takes advantage of continuing education opportunities				

PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT WORK PLAN CORE COMPETENCIES

- 2 -

red Actual Results											
How Monitored Source / Frequency											
Goals / Additional Expectations											
Results / Expectations	Keeps current and embraces changes	Readily uses and becomes proficient in new technology – both hardware and software	Uses the Internet, Intranet, and appropriate software applications including e-mail, wikis, Microsoft Word and Excel as necessary to perform duties of position	Takes responsibility for own actions and mistakes	Exercises accountability and dependability with job tasks	Remains open to constructive feedback	Models courteous and professional behavior	Handles stress effectively and calmly	Expresses concern appropriately	Effectively plans and manages time	
core Competencies	Practices Continuous Learning (continued)			Models Self- Management							

PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT WORK PLAN CORE COMPETENCIES

ן שי

Core Competencies	Results / Expectations	Goals / Additional Expectations	How Monitored Source / Frequency	Actual Results
Civil and Ethical Behavior	Is truthful and honest in actions and communications			
	Respects patron and staff's rights to privacy			
	Separates personal views and professional duties			
	Follows Library's policies and procedures consistently			
Leadership and Teamwork	Respects the opinions, actions and ideas of others			
	Is flexible			
	Participates productively with staff			
	Encourages staff to develop skills and take more responsibility			
	Approaches issues with a problem- solving attitude			
	Engages in creative problem solving			

PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT WORK PLAN CORE COMPETENCIES

- 4 -

Results / Expectations Presents ideas in a clear, concise and organized manner Chooses appropriate form of communication Chooses appropriate words Communicates to the appropriate parties
Reads communications
Listens attentively
Asks clarifying questions

ATTACHMENT D PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT WORK PLAN CORE COMPETENCIES

PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT WORK PLAN KEY RESPONSIBILITIES
--

Actual Results		
How Monitored Source / Frequency		
Goals / Additional Expectations		
Key Responsibilities	Plan and review library services	Oversee day to day operations

-9-

ATTACHMENT D

PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT WORK PLAN KEY RESPONSIBILITIES

	Goals / Additional Expectations	How Monitored Source / Frequency	Actual Results
Oversee the development of library collections			
Oversee the maintenance of library facilities			

PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT WORK PLAN KEY RESPONSIBILITIES

Key Responsibilities	Goals / Additional Expectations	How Monitored Source / Frequency	Actual Results
Oversee the maintenance of library facilities			
Oversee the development and integration of new technology			
Oversee the preparation of library statistics and related reports			

PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Development planning is an opportunity to select activities and / or training, which will enhance an employee's knowledge, skills, and / or abilities. Indicate below the activities and / or training to be completed during this review period (Optional).

Completion Date		
Activities and / or Training		

••
S
1
Z
ш
5
1
Ş
0
Õ
-
Z
4
~
ARY
5
2
2
5
MN
3
v)
G
ž
_
5
Щ
MEET
Σ
2P
2
Z
Ē
-
5
4
1
PLA
×
~
R
2
3

Date
Commissioner's Signature
Date
Commissioner's Signature
Date
Director's Signature (Acknowledges that a discussion has taken place regarding your Work Plan and Development Plan)

PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM INTERIM MEETING

ľs:
DMMENTS
AND CC
NTERIM MEETING SUMMARY AND COMMEN
TING SU
IM MEETI
INTER

omments:
<u> </u>
õ
ľS'
0
ت
ò
ŏ
Ψ.
-
L

 T
Date
Commissioner's Signature
Date
Commissioner's Signature
Date
Director's Signature (Acknowledges that a discussion has taken place regarding your progress to date)

\square
F
7
C
Ш
And and a second second
-
and the second
U U
\triangleleft
1
-
~

ANNUAL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION	ANNUAL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION SUMMARY AND COMMENTS:	ance: Dees not meet expectations Does not meet expectations	i	If Date Commissioner's Signature Date Commissioner's Signature Date eviewed with you)
	ANNUAL PERFORMANCE EVALL	Director's performance:	Director's Comments:	Director's Signature (Does not mean you agree but that your performance has been reviewed with you)

SONOMA COUNTY LIBRARY Performance Management Program Work Plan



Employee Director	Position	Library Director
Evaluator Commissioners	Position	Commissioners
Date of Work Planning Meeting	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Date of Interim Meeting	*******	
Date of Annual Performance Evaluation		
Evaluation is for period ofth	through	
(Original of signed form to:	(Original of signed form to: Director and Commission Chair, Copy to Commission)	hair, Copy to Commission)

	Actual Results										
r WORK PLAN ES	How Monitored Source / Frequency										
PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT WORK PLAN CORE COMPETENCIES	Goals / Additional Expectations										
PERFORMAN CC	Results / Expectations	Treats everyone with respect	Pays attention, listens carefully and asks questions to determine the person's needs	Willingly handles problems, complaints, and special requests	Responds appropriately	Negotiates issues calmly and professionally, provides options when possible, and explains rationale positively when denials are required	Follows through	Looks for opportunities to use and expand knowledge, skills and experience	Takes advantage of continuing education opportunities	Keeps current and embraces changes	Readily uses and becomes proficient in new technology – both hardware and software
	Competencies	Interaction with others						Practices Continuous Learning			

י 2'

ations Additional Expectations Source / Frequency Actual Results	et, and blications flicrosoft ssary to n	wn actions and and sks and sks Lotive Lotive Jand calmly opriately nages time	litiness and
Results / Expectations	Uses the Internet, Intranet, and appropriate software applications including e-mail, wikis, Microsoft Word and Excel as necessary to perform duties of position	Takes responsibility for own actions and mistakes Exercises accountability and dependability with job tasks Remains open to constructive feedback Models courteous and professional behacior Handles stress effectively and calmly Expresses concern appropriately Effectively plans and manages time	Treats all with respect, fairness and
Core Competencies	Practices Continuous Learning (continued)	Models Self- Management	Civil and Ethical

PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT WORK PLAN CORE COMPETENCIES

י מי

Core Competencies	Results / Expectations	Goals / Additional Expectations	How Monitored Source / Frequency	Actual Results
Civil and Ethical Behavior (continued)	Is truthful and honest in actions and communications			
	Respects patron and staff's rights to privacy			
	Separates personal views and professional duties			
	Follows Library's policies and procedures consistently			
Leadership and Teamwork	Respects the opinions, actions and ideas of others			
	Is flexible			
	Participates productively with staff			
	Encourages staff to develop skills and take more responsibility			
	Approaches issues with a problem- solving attitude			
	Engages in creative problem solving			
Effective Communication	Presents ideas in a clear, concise and organized manner			
	Chooses appropriate form of communication			
	Chooses appropriate words			

PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT WORK PLAN CORE COMPETENCIES

- 4 -

Actual Results					
How Monitored Source / Frequency					
Goals / Additional Expectations					
Results / Expectations	Communicates to the appropriate parties	Reads communications	Listens attentively	Asks clarifying questions	
Competencies	Effective Communication				

Actual Results						
How Monitored Source / Frequency						
Goals / Additional Expectations	Move forward with self-check as budget allows	Move Torward with e-books providing opportunity for commission and public to comment	Review various programs and services with Commission throughout the year	Effectively provide services to patrons	Direct the allocation of the library resources to maximize continued services to the public	Month to month tracking of financials
Key Responsibilities	Plan and review library services			Oversee day to day operations	Formulate budget	Administer budget

PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT WORK PLAN KEY RESPONSIBILITIES

- 9 -

	·1			
ALIACHMENI D	Actual Results			
PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT WORK PLAN KEY RESPONSIBILITIES	How Monitored Source / Frequency			
PERFORMANCE M KEY RE	Goals / Additional Expectations		Implement system wide employee evaluations on an annual basis	Continue to develop e-books program
	Key Responsibilities	Administer budget (continued)	Oversee the hiring and development of staff	Oversee the development of library collections

- 7 -

ATTACHMENT D		
	PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT WORK PLAN	KEY RESPONSIBILITIES

Actual Results			
How Monitored Source / Frequency			
Goals / Additional Expectations	Work with the cities and counties to provide safe, clean, inviting facilities for the public and for staff	Keep current with technology available to increase services to public and to decrease workload on staff	Implement annual report with stats to post on State of the Library.
Key Responsibilities	Oversee the maintenance of library facilities	Oversee the development and integration of new technology	Oversee the preparation of library statistics and related reports

	PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT PLAN	ENT PRC PLAN	JGRAM	ALLACHMENT D	
Development planning is an opportunity to the activities and / or training to be complet	Development planning is an opportunity to select activities and / or training, which will enhance an employee's knowledge, skills, and / or abilities. Indicate below the activities and / or training to be completed during this review period (<i>Optional</i>).	ce an employe	e's knowledge, skil	lls, and / or abilities. Indicate b	wola
	Activities and / or Training			Completion Date	
WORK PLANNING MEETING SUMMARY AND COMMEI	AND COMMENTS:				
Director's Signature (Acknowledges that a discussion has taken place regarding your Work Plan and Development Plan)	Date Commissioner's Signature	Date	Commissioner's Signature	's Signature Date	
					7

Δ	
F	
2	
Ш	
5	
\leq	
T	
Ö	
7	
~	
<u> </u>	
1	
	1

PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM INTERIM MEETING

ł		1	1
			Date
INTERIM MEETING	OMMENTS:		Commissioner's Signature
			Date
			Commissioner's Signature
			Date
	INTERIM MEETING SUMMARY AND COMMENTS:	Director's Comments:	Director's Signature (Acknowledges that a discussion has taken place regarding your progress to date)

				Date
ATTACHMENTD				Commissioner's Signature
ROGRAM JATION				Commission
GEMENT PI NCE EVALL	MMENTS:	Does not meet expectations		Date
PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM ANNUAL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION				commissioner's signature
ERFORMA ANNUAL F	ARY AND CO	ations		
PER	MMUS NOL	Meets expectations		2 2 2 2
	ANNUAL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION SUMMARY AND COMMENTS:	Director's performance:	Director's Comments: Director's Signature	(Dees not mean you agree but that your performance has been reviewed with you)